

study, researchers likewise observed a small portion of the sample surviving to the fledgling stage and fall migration (2 of 8). In 2009, however, at least 18 of 39 colts survived to participate in the fall migration. Further investigation is necessary to understand the trends observed in both the long-term and during 2009.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful for the financial support and contributions of the Illinois Ornithological Society. I would also like to thank the Illinois Department of Natural Resources especially Brad Semel, the Lake County Forest Preserves, the McHenry County Conservation District, the International Crane Foundation and the Illinois Natural History Survey, especially Michael P. Ward, for the countless hours of assistance in the field and with data analysis. Additionally, I graciously acknowledge the selfless contributions of property owners and volunteers across Lake and McHenry Counties. Without their participation, this work would not have been possible. Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the generous contributions of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and Sandy and David Whitmore in funding this work.

Literature Cited

Blackwell, B. F., Helon, D. A., & Dolbeer, R. A. 2001. Repelling Sandhill Cranes from corn: whole-kernel experiments with captive birds. *Crop Protection* 20: 65-68.

Dahl, Thomas E. 1990. Wetlands losses in the United States 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 13 pp.

Drewien, R. C., Brown, W. M., Varley, J. D., & Lockman, D. C. 1999. Seasonal movements of Sandhill Cranes radiomarked in Yellowstone National Park and Jackson Hole, Wyoming. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 63: 126-136.

Henika, F. S. 1936. Sand-hill Cranes in Wisconsin and other lake states. *Proceedings of the North American Wildlife Conference* 1: 644-646.

Illinois Department of Natural Resources . 2009. Wetlands: status and history. Retrieved: 2/10/2010; <http://dnr.state.il.us/wetlands/index.htm>.

Laubhan, M. K. & Gammonley, J. H. 2001. Agricultural producers' perceptions of sandhill cranes in the San Luis Valley of Colorado. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 29: 639-645.

Meine, Curt D. and George W. Archibald (Eds). 1996. *The cranes: - Status survey and conservation action plan*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, and Cambridge, U.K. 294pp.

Nesbitt, S. A. 1992. First reproductive success and individual productivity in Sandhill Cranes. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 56: 573-577.

North American Breeding Bird Survey 2007. North American Breeding Bird Survey Trend Results: Wisconsin. Retrieved 2/10/2010; www.pwrc.usgs.gov/bbs/index.html.

Robbins, S.D., Jr. 1991. Wisconsin birdlife. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wi. 702 pp.

Roberts, T.S. 1932. *The birds of Minnesota, Volume 1*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Mn. 691 pp.

Sampson, F. & Knopf, F. 1994. Prairie conservation in North America. *BioScience* 44: 418-421.

Su, L., Harris, J., & Barzin, J. 2004. Changes in population and distribution for Greater Sandhill Cranes in Wisconsin. *The Passenger Pigeon* 66: 317-326.

Sugden, L. G., Clark, R. G., Woodsworth, E. J., & Greenwood, H. 1988. Use of cereal fields by foraging Sandhill Cranes in Saskatchewan. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 25: 111-124.

Tacha, T. C., S. A. Nesbitt and P. A. Vohs. 1992. Sandhill Crane (*Grus canadensis*). *The Birds of North America Online* (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America Online: <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu.proxy2.library.uiuc.edu/bna/species/031doi:10.2173/bna.31>

Toland, B. 1999. Nesting success and productivity of Florida Sandhill Cranes of natural and developed sites in Southeast Florida. *Florida Field Naturalist*, 27: 10-13.2

— Jeffrey Fox
1801 Country Drive, Apt. 1
Grayslake, IL 60030
jfox6@illinois.edu

Editor's note: In table 1, *P* values of 0.05 or smaller are considered to be of high statistical significance, i.e., most of the population trends given above are likely very accurate. Higher *P* values often denote a much smaller sample size, causing the trend percentage to be more unreliable.